## **FISCAL NOTE**

2005 LEGISLATIVE SESSION B				Fiscal Note Number: Bill Version: (H) Publish Date:		2		
						HB 103		
						3/4/05		
Revision Date/Time (Note if correction):				Dept. Affecte				
Title "An Act requiring an actionable claim against				RDU	CIVIL			
the state to be tried without a jury."			Component	Torts & Workers' Compensation,				
Sponsor Representative Kelly			Labor & State Affairs					
Requester	House State Affairs			Component No.				
Expenditures/Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)								
Note: Amounts do not include inflation unless otherwise			1		I	T	T	
	EXPENDITURES	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	
Personal Servi	ces	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	
Travel		(******)	(*******)	(*******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	
Contractual		(******)	(*******)	(*******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	
Supplies		(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	
Equipment		(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	
Land & Structu	res							
Grants & Claims								
Miscellaneous								
TOTA	AL OPERATING	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	
CAPITAL EXP	ENDITURES							
CHANGE IN R	EVENUES ( )	1			<u> </u>			
FUND SOURCE			(Thousands of Dollars)					
1002 Federal Receipts				1	ĺ			
1003 GF Match	•							
1004 GF		(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	(******)	
1005 GF/Program Receipts		/	/	,	/	,	,	
1037 GF/Mental Health								
Other (Specify TypeDo not abbreviate)								
Other (Specify I		<b>/</b> *******	<b>(******</b>	/****** <b>*</b> \	/****** <b>*</b>	/****** <b>*</b>	<b>/</b> *******	
	TOTAL	)	)	( )	( )	)	( )	
Estimate of any current year (FY2005) cost: 0.0								
Mark this box (X) if funding for this bill is included in the Governor's FY 2006 budget proposal:								
POSITIONS								
Full-time								
Part-time								
Temporary								
					I			
ANALYSIS: (Attach a separate page if necessary)								
This bill adds a new subsection under AS 09.50.250 requiring that actionable claims against the state								
falling under this statute be tried by a court without a jury.								
Caveat: Although the state conducts approximately 5 to 10 jury trials per year, the number of actual jury								
trials only reveals part of the picture. Statistically, the greatest group of civil cases for damages (not just								
cases filed against the state) are worked extensively through motion and pretrial practice, and then settled								
after much of the motion and pretrial work has been completed (greater than 92% is the figure often								
quoted for cases settled, rather than tried). It is anticipated that HB 103 would effect not only the number								
of cases that are actually tried, but also result in savings in a much greater group of cases during the								
pretrial phase of litigation.								
Prepared by:					Phone 465-3673			
Division	Administrative Services Division				Date/Time 3/2/05 4:30 PM			
Approved by:	K. Daughhetee for Scott Nordstrand, Attorney General				Date	3/2/2005		
Agency	Department of Law				•			

(Revised 9/23/2004 OMB) Page 1 of 2

## **FISCAL NOTE #2**

## STATE OF ALASKA 2005 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

BILL NO. <u>HB 103</u>

## **ANALYSIS CONTINUATION**

Indeterminate savings to the state should result because of the following factors:

- 1. Cases may resolve without trial because there is more predictability of anticipated results with a court trial;
- 2. If the case is a court trial rather than a jury trial, the length of jury trials should be shortened by an average of two days because there would be no jury selection, no jury instructions, and some witnesses or evidence may not need to be produced at trial;
- 3. If the case is tried by the court rather than a jury there would be less pretrial preparation time and expense. For example, the parties will not need to draft instructions, draft jury voir dire or jury questionnaires, and they may not generate evidentiary motions.
- 4. In cases where summary judgment is currently precluded because there are genuine issues of material fact, the judge could do abbreviated, summary trials limited to the contested issues of fact that are relevant to the summary judgment motion.
- 5. It is also anticipated that some number of cases that are currently settled may be tried by a court because of the greater predictability in a court trial.

Page 2 of 2